



## Interview Summary

09/245,549 Examiner Zervos

Joyce Tung

Art Unit **1637** 

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Joyce Tung	(3) <i>Rita</i>
(2) Louis Myers	
Date of Interview Jun 13, 2003	-
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes	
Claim(s) discussed:	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	
any other comments:	nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
discussed the difference between the prior art and the insta	ant invention.
	<del></del>
•	
,	<del></del>
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no davailable, a summary thereof must be attached.)	dments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) $\square$ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ	rate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE, OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MP	OM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE
	·
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required





Art Unit

## Interview Summary

Application No. 09/245,549

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Zervos

Joyce Tung

1637

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):
(1) Joyce Tung	(3) <i>Rita</i>
(2) Louis Myers	
Date of Interview Jun 13, 2003	_
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes	
Claim(s) discussed:	
Identification of prior art discussed:	*
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached	l. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.
	I nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
any other comments:	
discussed the difference between the prior art and the inst	ant invention.
·	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amenallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	dments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) $\square$ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	rate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FRO	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has OM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE rd of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required